THE EVOLUTION OF CRIMINAL LAW IN RESPONSE TO WHITE-COLLAR CRIME

AUTHOR:
SAI CHARAN ISUKAPALLI

1.1 Introduction to White-Collar Crime

White-collar crime, a term first coined by sociologist Edwin Sutherland in 1939, refers to non-violent, financially motivated offenses typically committed by individuals or entities in positions of trust and responsibility within businesses or government organizations. These crimes often involve deceit, manipulation, or abuse of power for personal or organizational gain. In the context of Indian laws, white-collar crime encompasses a range of offenses such as fraud, bribery, corruption, embezzlement, insider trading, money laundering, cybercrime, and intellectual property theft, among others. The complexity and sophistication of white-collar crimes pose significant challenges to law enforcement agencies and regulatory bodies in detection, investigation, and prosecution.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

Historically, white-collar crime has been pervasive across societies, with instances dating back to ancient civilizations. However, it gained prominence in the 20th century with the rise of industrialization, globalization, and advancements in technology. Notable cases, such as the Enron scandal in the United States and the Satyam scandal in India, have underscored the far-reaching consequences of white-collar crime on economies, institutions, and public trust. These incidents have prompted legislative reforms, regulatory interventions, and increased scrutiny of corporate governance practices to mitigate the risks associated with financial misconduct.[1]

The impact of white-collar crime extends beyond financial losses to encompass broader social, economic, and ethical implications. In modern society, these crimes erode public confidence in institutions, undermine market integrity, and disrupt economic stability. They can lead to job losses, investor disillusionment, and adverse effects on vulnerable populations. Furthermore, white-collar crimes often intersect with other forms of criminal activity, such as organized

[1] Arafat Ibnul Bashar and Golam Mostafa, 'Bureaucracy & White-Collar Crimes in Bangladesh' (2018) 1(1) SCLS Law Review 63.

crime and terrorism financing, posing complex challenges for national and international security.

In India, the prevalence of white-collar crime has drawn attention to systemic weaknesses in regulatory frameworks, enforcement mechanisms, and corporate governance standards. Despite legislative efforts to combat financial fraud and corruption, gaps in implementation, inadequate resources, and bureaucratic inertia continue to hamper effective enforcement. Moreover, the evolving nature of white-collar crime, facilitated by technological advancements and global interconnectedness, underscores the need for adaptive and proactive strategies to address emerging threats.

Concept of White-collar Crime

Edwin Hardin Sutherland, a renowned criminologist and sociologist, is universally recognised as the creator of the term “white-collar crime.” In 1939, he achieved this during a speech delivered to the American Sociological Association. However, Sutherland's application of the term “white-collar crime” was not done without considering existing theories. However, its origin was based on the “criminalised concept,” a term that E. A. Ross extensively used in his 1907 book “Sin and Society.” Later, Sutherland further developed this concept and offered a precise definition for white-collar crime as “the actions of a person of high social and economic status who violates criminal laws while performing their professional and occupational responsibilities.” Sutherland is credited with coining the term “white-collar crime.”[2] In a previous discussion dating back to 1934, Albert Morris, a respected botanist and ecologist, suggested that individuals of high social standing should be legally prosecuted and punished for engaging in antisocial behaviour.[3]

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

However, the term “white-collar crime” has been obsoleting since 1939. Contrarily, the earliest documented instance of white-collar crime can be traced back to England in the 15th century.[4] The 1473 Carrier's Case,[5] a significant legal precedent in the United Kingdom, revolved around an agent who was given permission to transport wool but attempted to steal a portion of it for personal profit. The principle of “breaking bulk” was subsequently adopted by the Star Chamber and Exchequer Chamber of the English Court of Law. This was due to the

[2] Minal H. Upadhyay, ‘White-Collar Crime in India’ (2014) 2(2) International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences 4.
[3] Ahsan Shajib, ‘White Collar Crimes in Bangladesh Perspective’ (2017) 2(2) BiLD Law Journal 131.
[4] G. Nagarajan and J. Khaja Sheriff, ‘White Collar Crimes in India’ (2012) 1(9) International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research 158.
[5] Anonymous v The Sheriff of London, 13 Edw. IV, f.9., pl. 5 (1473).

categorization of the mentioned offence as the commission of the theft crime. If someone carries a large quantity or package of goods for someone else and then opens or uses those goods for their own personal use, they will be charged with the crime of theft. The court's opinion is as follows. Furthermore, the increase in white-collar crime is a recent phenomenon, resulting from the industrialization that took place in the eighteenth century. This elucidates the reason behind the phenomenon's recent occurrence.[6]

Sir Walter Reckless, an American criminologist, contends that the term "white-collar crime" is a contrived label employed to distinguish the offences carried out by corporate executives who possess the ability to modify the policies and functioning of the organisation. Gossami argues that "certain experts propose that white-collar offences are perpetrated not due to necessity but rather due to avarice." According to Marshall Clinard, white-collar crime refers to the violation of legal regulations primarily committed by professionals, businessmen, and politicians in the course of their professional activities. In contrast, white-collar crime can be defined as a series of illegal activities conducted with the intention of achieving an unlawful goal. This form of criminal behaviour can be carried out by individuals or collectives from the upper and middle socioeconomic strata, employing a nonviolent approach that creates the illusion of nonviolence. White-collar crime refers to the deliberate commission of illegal activities with the aim of obtaining financial benefits, avoiding legal responsibilities, or unlawfully acquiring personal property.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

White-collar criminals primarily consist of individuals from the upper and middle classes. Their main motivation is financial gain, and they typically refrain from using violence. They display a consistent pattern of behaviour, often involving the betrayal of trust, and their actions result in victimisation.[7] White-collar crimes are characterised by several key features. The most common is that they occur in the realm of business, trade, and among individuals in higher and middle socioeconomic classes. Additionally, these crimes are motivated by greed and are committed by individuals who possess the necessary skills and resources. While white-collar crime does not involve physical violence, it still represents a significant portion of victimisation crimes.[8] Corrupt professionals may accumulate significant funds through deceptive methods, aiming to achieve their ultimate goal of financial prosperity. Because there is no universally

---

[6] Arafat Ibnul Bashar and Golam Mostafa, 'Bureaucracy & White-Collar Crimes in Bangladesh' (2018) 1(1) SCLS Law Review 63.
[7] Berghoff and Spiekermann (n 6) 291.
[8] Fredericks and others (n 10) 01.

agreed-upon definition, many criminologists have created various diagrams to demonstrate white-collar crime. On the other hand, the identification of these crimes presents difficulties because law enforcement agencies often depend on unnecessary methods. Organisational and occupational crime can be classified as white-collar offences in India. In India, there are various manifestations of white-collar crime.[9]

2.1 Evolution of laws combating White-Collar Crime

The Arthashastra, an ancient Indian text attributed to Chanakya, prescribed penalties for fraudulent practices in trade and commerce, demonstrating an early recognition of the need for regulatory measures to maintain market integrity and prevent economic malpractice. For instance, in the Arthashastra, penalties such as fines, confiscation of property, and even corporal punishment were prescribed for individuals found guilty of engaging in fraudulent activities such as deceitful trade practices or misrepresentation of goods.

In line with this historical precedent, there are several laws which evolved to combat white collar crimes over time:

1. **Indian Penal Code (IPC) of 1860:**

The Indian Penal Code (IPC) of 1860,[10] a venerable statute within India's legal framework, serves as a bulwark against various forms of white-collar crimes and economic malfeasance. Among its provisions, sections related to offenses such as cheating, criminal breach of trust, forgery, counterfeiting, and dishonest misappropriation of property stand as pillars in the fight against financial fraud and deceit in commercial dealings.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

One of the most significant sections in this regard is Section 420, which deals specifically with the offense of cheating. This section defines cheating as the act of deceiving a person through false representation or concealment of facts, leading to wrongful gain or causing financial loss. Offenders can face imprisonment of up to seven years, accompanied by fines, reflecting the gravity with which the law views such deceptive practices.

Closely aligned with cheating is the offense of criminal breach of trust, delineated in Sections 405 to 409 of the IPC. These sections encapsulate the betrayal of trust by individuals who misappropriate property entrusted to them or willfully breach fiduciary duties. The prescribed

[9] Anamika Beohar and Kuljit Singh, 'White collar crime in India: Socio-legal study' (2018) 4(2) International Journal of Law 256.
[10] 8. Indian Penal Code, 1860, India: https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1569253/.

punishments vary depending on the nature and value of the property involved, ranging from imprisonment for three years to life, underscoring the seriousness of breaching trust.

Forgery, another prevalent form of white-collar crime, finds its legal moorings in Sections 463 to 477A of the IPC. These sections encompass a spectrum of offenses, from the creation of false documents to the possession of counterfeit currency. The penalties for forgery can be severe, with imprisonment ranging from up to seven years to life, signaling the law's resolve to combat fraudulent practices that undermine the sanctity of legal instruments and financial transactions.

Counterfeiting, particularly of currency notes and coins, is addressed under Sections 478 to 489E of the IPC. These provisions target the fabrication, possession, or use of counterfeit currency, along with related activities such as making instruments for counterfeiting. The law imposes stringent penalties, including imprisonment for terms spanning from seven years to life, alongside fines, to deter individuals from engaging in counterfeit operations that undermine the stability of financial systems.

Lastly, Sections 403 and 404 of the IPC address the dishonest misappropriation of property, where individuals unlawfully appropriate assets entrusted to them or under their control. These sections provide for imprisonment ranging from two to seven years, along with fines, aiming to safeguard the integrity of property rights and prevent exploitation through fraudulent means.

In essence, the provisions of the Indian Penal Code related to white-collar crimes serve as a formidable deterrent against financial misconduct, safeguarding the interests of individuals, businesses, and the broader economy. Their enforcement underscores the commitment of the legal system to uphold integrity, trust, and justice in commercial dealings, fostering an environment conducive to economic growth and prosperity.

2. **Companies Act, 1913:**

The Companies Act of 1913[11] stands as a pivotal piece of legislation in India's corporate governance framework, embedding provisions specifically tailored to regulate corporate conduct and combat white-collar crimes within corporate entities. Central to this legislation are provisions mandating the maintenance of proper books of accounts (Section 128) and the preparation of financial statements (Section 129), which underscore the imperative of accurate financial reporting. Failure to adhere to these requirements could incur penalties ranging from

[11] Companies Act, 2013, India: http://ebook.mca.gov.in/default.aspx.

Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 1,00,000, alongside additional fines for each day of non-compliance, emphasizing the seriousness with which the law addresses lapses in financial transparency.

Moreover, the appointment of auditors (Section 226) was made mandatory to ensure independent scrutiny of a company's financial records, serving as a crucial safeguard against financial irregularities and fraudulent practices. Non-compliance with auditor appointment requirements could lead to penalties for the company and its responsible officers, reinforcing the imperative of external oversight in upholding financial integrity. Directors' duties and liabilities (Section 235) were also delineated, with directors entrusted with fiduciary responsibilities to act honestly, diligently, and in the best interests of the company. Breaches of these duties could result in fines and potential disqualification from serving as directors, highlighting the importance of ethical conduct and accountability in corporate governance.

Furthermore, the Companies Act of 1913 empowered government authorities to investigate corporate offenses (Section 235B), enabling inquiries into company affairs, examination of financial records, and punitive action against companies and their officers for violations of company law. Penalties for such offenses ranged from fines of Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 1,00,000, with additional penalties for continued default, serving as a deterrent against corporate malpractice and misconduct. These provisions, backed by prescribed penalties, aimed to enforce compliance with corporate governance standards, deter fraudulent practices, and ensure accountability within the corporate sector.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

3. Prevention of Corruption Act (PCA), 1988:

The Prevention of Corruption Act (PCA) of 1988[12] constitutes a pivotal legal instrument in India's ongoing battle against corruption, featuring provisions meticulously crafted to target various forms of corrupt practices prevalent in both public and private sectors. Central to its framework is the criminalization of bribery, articulated in Sections 7 and 8, which unequivocally prohibit public servants from either soliciting or accepting gratification as an inducement for performing or refraining from performing official duties. Complementing this, Section 13 of the PCA addresses the issue of disproportionate assets among public servants, aiming to curb illicit enrichment and unjust gains by imposing penalties of imprisonment for a term ranging from one to seven years, alongside fines.

[12] Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, India: https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/ 123456789/2260/1/A1988-49.pdf.

Moreover, the PCA underscores the severity of corrupt acts through the provision of enhanced penalties, as elucidated in Section 10, which empowers specialized judges to adjudicate corruption-related offenses. Convictions under this Act could lead to imprisonment ranging from a minimum of six months to a maximum of seven years, coupled with significant fines, thereby signaling the legislative intent to clamp down on corruption with firm resolve. Additionally, the PCA incorporates measures to tackle the scourge of criminal misconduct by public servants, addressing instances of misuse of official position for personal gain, and subjecting perpetrators to stringent penalties.

Furthermore, the PCA establishes mechanisms for the attachment and forfeiture of property acquired through corrupt means, as outlined in Section 19, reinforcing the notion that ill-gotten gains shall not go unpunished. By confiscating assets obtained through corruption, the Act aims to strip wrongdoers of their proceeds of crime, serving as a deterrent against engaging in corrupt activities. Together, these provisions of the PCA constitute a robust legal framework designed to combat corruption, promote transparency, and uphold the principles of integrity and accountability in public service and governance. Their enforcement plays a pivotal role in fostering a culture of ethical conduct and bolstering public trust in India's institutions, paving the way for a more equitable and prosperous society.

4. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) Act, 1992:

The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) Act of 1992 is a cornerstone legislation aimed at regulating and overseeing India's securities market, ensuring investor protection, market integrity, and the efficient functioning of capital markets. Embedded within this Act are provisions specifically tailored to address various forms of market manipulation, insider trading, and fraudulent practices, accompanied by penalties designed to deter such activities and uphold the integrity of the securities market.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

Key provisions of the SEBI Act include those related to market manipulation and fraudulent practices. Section 12A of the Act prohibits manipulative and fraudulent practices in securities markets, such as price rigging, insider trading, and dissemination of false information. Individuals found guilty of engaging in such practices are subject to severe penalties, including fines and imprisonment, as prescribed under the Act.[13]

[13] Frank S. Perri and Terrance G. Lichtenwald. ‘When White-Collar Crime Turns Red (Part 2)’. Fraud Magazine, ACFE, Austin, USA, July/August, 2007.

Additionally, the SEBI Act empowers SEBI to investigate and prosecute violations of securities laws, with provisions outlining penalties for non-compliance. Under Section 15HB, SEBI has the authority to impose monetary penalties on entities found in contravention of securities regulations, ranging from a minimum amount to a maximum of Rs. 25 crores or three times the amount of profits made through the violation, whichever is higher.

Moreover, the SEBI Act provides for the establishment of specialized adjudicating officers and appellate tribunals to adjudicate disputes and appeals arising from SEBI's regulatory actions. Adjudicating officers have the authority to levy fines and penalties on violators of securities laws, while appellate tribunals provide a mechanism for aggrieved parties to challenge SEBI's decisions.

Furthermore, the SEBI Act empowers SEBI to issue directions, orders, and regulations to regulate various aspects of the securities market and protect investor interests. SEBI's regulatory framework encompasses rules governing securities offerings, disclosures, market conduct, and corporate governance standards, with a view to promoting transparency, fairness, and efficiency in the securities market.

5. **Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), 2002:**

The Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) of 2002[14] represents a crucial legislative initiative aimed at combating money laundering and the financing of terrorism in India. Enacted to address the growing menace of illicit financial activities, the PMLA introduces comprehensive provisions to prevent, detect, and prosecute money laundering offenses, along with stringent penalties to deter such criminal activities and safeguard the integrity of the financial system.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

Central to the PMLA are provisions pertaining to the definition and prohibition of money laundering activities. Section 3 of the Act defines the offense of money laundering as the process of concealing, acquiring, possessing, or using proceeds of crime, knowing or having reason to believe that such proceeds are derived from unlawful activities. This provision casts a wide net, encompassing various activities aimed at disguising the illicit origin of funds, such

[14] Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, India: https://www.fiuindia.gov.in/pmla-act.html.

as layering and integration, and imposes penalties on individuals found guilty of money laundering offenses.[15]

Penalties for money laundering offenses under the PMLA are outlined in Section 4 of the Act. Individuals convicted of money laundering offenses face rigorous imprisonment for a term ranging from three to seven years, along with fines that may extend up to the value of the proceeds of crime involved in the offense. Additionally, the Act empowers authorities to confiscate and forfeit properties derived from money laundering activities, further disincentivizing individuals from engaging in such illicit financial transactions.

Moreover, the PMLA establishes a comprehensive regulatory framework for combating money laundering, with provisions empowering enforcement agencies such as the Directorate of Enforcement (ED) to investigate and prosecute offenses under the Act. The Act grants ED broad investigatory powers, including the authority to conduct searches, seize assets, and summon individuals for examination, to effectively combat money laundering activities and enforce compliance with anti-money laundering regulations.

Furthermore, the PMLA introduces measures to enhance international cooperation in the fight against money laundering and terrorist financing. Section 60 of the Act enables the government to enter into agreements with foreign jurisdictions for mutual legal assistance, extradition, and the sharing of information and evidence related to money laundering offenses. This facilitates collaborative efforts among countries to track, trace, and disrupt illicit financial flows across borders, strengthening the global response to transnational financial crimes.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

6. Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), 2016

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) of 2016 stands as a landmark legislation in India's legal landscape, designed to revamp the country's insolvency and bankruptcy framework and ensure efficient resolution of corporate insolvency cases. The Code introduces a slew of provisions meticulously crafted to facilitate the insolvency resolution process, protect the interests of creditors, and promote the orderly conduct of insolvency proceedings, all enforced through a system of penalties aimed at enforcing compliance and deterring misconduct.[16]

[15] Gogoi Jupi. ‘Socio-Economic Offences’. Annual Survey of Indian Law 2013, Indian Law Institute, New Delhi, India, 2016.
[16] Grabosky Peter. ‘The Prevention and Control of Economic Crime’. Annual Report for 1998, Resource Material Series No. 55, UNAFEI, Fuchu, Tokyo, Japan, March 2000.

At the heart of the IBC are provisions concerning the initiation and resolution of insolvency proceedings. Section 7 of the IBC empowers financial creditors to initiate insolvency proceedings against defaulting corporate debtors, while Section 9 extends a similar recourse to operational creditors. These provisions serve as the linchpin for triggering the insolvency resolution process, ensuring that defaulting entities are subjected to timely and effective resolution mechanisms.

Penalties for non-compliance with the provisions of the IBC are explicitly delineated in Section 65 of the Code. Entities found in contravention of the IBC, including defaulting corporate debtors, directors, officers, or any other person responsible for the conduct of the debtor, may face fines ranging from Rs. 1 lakh to Rs. 1 crore. Additionally, individuals found guilty of such contraventions may be liable for imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years, highlighting the seriousness with which the law views non-compliance and misconduct in insolvency proceedings.

Furthermore, the IBC introduces provisions aimed at avoiding preferential transactions and fraudulent dispositions of assets by defaulting debtors. Sections 43 to 51 of the IBC empower insolvency resolution professionals to scrutinize and set aside preferential transactions, undervalued dispositions, or fraudulent transactions undertaken by the debtor prior to the initiation of insolvency proceedings. Individuals involved in such transactions, including directors and officers of the corporate debtor, may face penalties under the Code, including fines and imprisonment.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

Moreover, the IBC provides for the appointment of insolvency resolution professionals (IRPs) to oversee the resolution process and manage the affairs of the corporate debtor during insolvency proceedings. These professionals play a pivotal role in facilitating negotiations between creditors and debtors, formulating resolution plans, and ensuring the fair and equitable distribution of assets among creditors.

7. **Fugitive Economic Offender Act, 2018:**

This Act was enacted in response to the loan frauds committed by Vijay Mallya and Nirav Modi. Substantial sums of money were deceitfully acquired through both of these fraudulent schemes. Upon the widespread knowledge of the incident, they promptly left the country. The court possesses the power to seize the assets and properties of economic offenders who have

evaded the jurisdiction, as prescribed by this Act. The Act grants the authority to exercise extraterritorial jurisdiction over matters falling under the jurisdiction of the Indian Courts.

Judicial response to White-Collar crimes

- **Sukesh Chandrasekhar Fraud**

The general public became aware of the lavish lifestyle of con artist Sukesh Chandrasekhar as a result of his successful execution of numerous fraudulent schemes. Sukesh, who had forsaken his education, was victimised by unscrupulous parties who fraudulently acquired millions of dollars. He utilised several government officials, including the then home minister, Amit Shah. Jacqueline Fernandes and Nora Fatehi, both Bollywood actresses, were also associated with his pseudonym. He lavished them with luxury handbags, high-end vehicles, and thoroughbred horses. Furthermore, his name was linked to them. According to recent reports, Sukesh aided TTV Dhinakaran, the deputy general secretary of AIADMK, in order to obtain a favourable position with the electoral commission. Sukesh and Dhinakaran were initially detained by the Crime Branch of the Delhi Police in 2017 in connection with the electoral commission bribery case. The investigation into the matter is ongoing. Sukesh is accused of perpetrating fraud against multiple individuals, who were unaware of a sum of at least 200 crore rupees, during his time in prison.

- **Satyam Scandal**

Without a doubt, the scandal involving Satyam was one of the most significant instances of accounting fraud in our nation's history. It was brought to light in a highly dramatic manner. In 2009, the Times of India published the testimony of B Ramalingam Raju, an employee of Satyam Computers. During his testimony, he confessed to being the perpetrator of the book forgery. Lego Desk claims that the fraudulent activity totalling 14,000 crores worsened the severe recession that occurred in 2009. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) launched an investigation into Raju and his associates, who were later identified as the culprits behind significant financial schemes, such as insider trading and financial fraud. As per a report from Lego Desk, those responsible were given a fourteen-year ban from participating in the securities markets and were required to make a payment of three thousand crore rupees within forty-five days.

7.1 Conclusion

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

In conclusion, the evolution of criminal law in response to white-collar crime reflects society's ongoing efforts to adapt legal frameworks to the complexities of modern economic activities and technological advancements. From ancient legal codes like the Arthashastra to contemporary statutes like the Prevention of Corruption Act (PCA) and the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), the trajectory of criminal law has been shaped by the need to combat deceptive practices, financial fraud, and abuse of power in commercial transactions.

Throughout history, legislative measures have been enacted to address various forms of white-collar crime, including cheating, forgery, bribery, insider trading, and money laundering. These laws have evolved to encompass broader definitions of criminal conduct, enhanced enforcement mechanisms, and stringent penalties to deter potential offenders and uphold the rule of law.

In response to the proliferation of white-collar crime in the digital age, recent legislative reforms have introduced provisions to tackle emerging challenges such as cybercrime, data theft, and financial fraud perpetrated through electronic means. The advent of specialized regulatory bodies, such as the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (IBBI), underscores the recognition of the need for specialized expertise and regulatory oversight in addressing complex financial crimes.

However, despite the progress made in legislative and regulatory frameworks, combating white-collar crime remains an ongoing challenge. The ever-evolving nature of economic activities and technological advancements continually present new avenues for exploitation and fraudulent practices. Therefore, a multifaceted approach involving proactive enforcement, international cooperation, public awareness, and capacity-building efforts is essential to effectively address white-collar crime and uphold the integrity of financial systems.

BURNISHED LAW JOURNAL

While the evolution of criminal law in response to white-collar crime reflects society's commitment to combating financial malfeasance and protecting the interests of individuals and institutions, continued vigilance and adaptation are necessary to stay ahead of the perpetrators of economic crimes in an increasingly interconnected and digitized world.